

Response to Map#2:

Non-Responsive

4. CZARA Litigation – Mid-Coast TMDL, Onsite time of transfer rule and urban guidance. The biggest issue is trying to understand where EPA is coming from, as seen from the joint EPA and NOAA letter of December 21. How much ongoing support will be needed from EPA? Why is EPA choosing to focus so much energy on forestry issues when the monitoring data in general indicates that the real environmental problems are in the urban and agricultural sectors. Why is EPA consuming OR resources and political will on these forestry issues when DEQ would prefer to focus on the areas where we can have the biggest environmental gain and there is growing momentum to do things on the ground?

Also, how does EPA's approach on the forestry-specific portion of the CZARA litigation align with the Forest Roads litigation and recent EPA rulemaking about forest roads?

Less Major Issues – but resource commitments:

Non-Responsive